PHILIP MORRIS U. S. A. INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE



RICHMOND. VIRGINIA

To: . Mr. J. E. Wickham Date: February 19, 1985

Fram:

· Susan W. Laffoon

Subject: · Comparison of Tar, Nicotine and Carbon Monoxide Deliveries for Market Sample #28: TITL versus Philip Morris versus FTC

> In January, 1985, the tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide (CO) deliveries of 207 domestic cigarette brands were reported by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) for Market Sample #28. data have been compared with data published by the Tobacco Institute Testing Laboratory (TITL) and Philip Morris (PM). TITL data, also based on Market Sample #28, were extracted from TITL's annual report, dated June, 1984. The Philip Morris data were based on the four-month running average reported in the November, 1983 Cigarette Information Report.

> The cigarettes tested by FTC and TITL were obtained by purchasing two packs of each brand distributed by domestic cigarette manufacturers during October, 1983 to January, 1984 in each of 50 geographic locations throughout the U.S. Philip Morris tested the most currently manufactured cigarettes purchased from two sources in each of ten major cities. Random samples were selected from eight packs per carton for each brand tested.

> The average tar, nicotine and CO deliveries of all brands included in the comparative study are listed.

	Tar, mg/cigt.	Nicotine, mg/cigt.	CO, mg/cigt.
TITL	11.6	0.90	11.7
Philip Morris	11.7	0.93	11.3
FTC	11.4	0.82	10.7

A statistical analysis of the data determined that there were no significant differences in the average tar deliveries between the three laboratories; however, an increase from previous surveys was observed in the tar deliveries of both TITL and Philip Morris. Approximately 73% of the brands reported were in the low tar

category (15.4 mg tar or less) compared to 76% for the previous market sample. The average nicotine delivery for FTC was significantly lower than both Philip Morris and TITL. The lower FTC nicotine delivery may be attributed to the method used by FTC to establish the standard curve for nicotine analysis. FTC's average CO delivery was also significantly lower than that of TITL. A comparison of the average tar, nicotine and CO deliveries for Market Sample #28 and prior surveys is given in Table XVI.

The average differences in the tar and nicotine deliveries by incremental tar ranges are reported in Tables I and II, respectively. Philip Morris averaged higher in tar than TITL for the 0-5 mg and 6-10 mg ranges, or 50% of the brands, and higher than FTC for 93% of the brands tested. TITL's average tar delivery was higher than that of FTC in all categories except 0-5 mg. The two laboratories showed no difference in tar delivery in the 6-10 mg range.

The Philip Morris average nicotine delivery was higher than TITL in all tar categories except 21-25 mg. FTC averaged lower than TITL and Philip Morris in all delivery ranges. Overall nicotine averages and average differences in incremental tar ranges were consistent with the trends reported in Market Sample #27. The correlations in the tar and nicotine deliveries for the three laboratories are illustrated in Graphs 1-6.

Table III shows the average differences in CO delivery observed among the three laboratories in increments of 5 mg. TITL averaged higher than Philip Morris, and significantly higher than FTC in all categories. The overall CO averages were consistent with those reported for Market Sample #27. Graphs 7-9 show the correlations in CO delivery for the three laboratories.

The individual brand comparisons by manufacturer for tar, nicotine and CO delivery are reported in Tables IV-XV. Large differences observed between laboratories may be attributed to different sampling methods and the ability of Philip Morris to detect brand modifications. Brand modifications have been footnoted where applicable, and those data have been omitted from the overall averages.

Susan N. Laffoon

It has been determined that the accepted FTC testing methodology does not accurately measure the deliveries of Brown & Williamson's Barclay and Kool Ultra cigarettes; therefore, those brands were omitted from the comparative study. Additions and deletions of brands since the publication of Market Sample #27 are listed in Table XVII.

SWL: rad

cc: Ms. C. C. Bright

Mr. J. Campbell

Mr. T. T. Goodale

Dr. M. Hausermann

Mr. L. F. Meyer

Mr. J. L. Myracle

Mr. J. A. Remington

Mr. F. E. Resnik

Ms. K. T. Sanderson

Dr. R. B. Seligman

Mr. M. Serrano

Ms. J. F. Stargardt

Mr. M. Suter

Mr. R. N. Thomson

Central File

Attachments

PM3001060409

TABLE I

DIFFERENCES IN TAR DELIVERY IN INCREMENTS OF 5 MG OF TAR DELIVERY 1

Tar Delivery Range, mg/cigt.	<u> N</u>	Average Difference TITL-PM	Average Difference FTC-PM	Average Difference TITL-FTC
0 - 5	37	-0.7	-0.4	-0.3
6 - 10	55	-0.5	-0.4	0.0
11 - 15	43	+0.2	-0.1	+0.3
16 - 20	37	+0.3	-0.2	+0.5
21 - 25	8	+1.8	+0.7	+1.1
26 and above	4	+0.8	0.0	+0.8
OVERALL	184	-0.1	-0.2	+0.2
			. *	
0 - 15	135	-0.3	-0.3	0.0
16 - 20	37	+0.3	-0.2	+0.5
21 and above	12	+1.5	+0.5	+1.0

¹The tar ranges are determined by FTC data.

TABLE II

DIFFERENCES IN NICOTINE DELIVERY IN INCREMENTS OF 5 MG OF TAR DELIVERY¹

Tar Delivery Range, mg/cigt.	N	Average Difference TITL-PM	Average Difference FTC-PM	Average Difference TITL-FTC
0 - 5	37	-0.04	-0.07	+0.02
6 - 10	55	-0.04	-0.09	+0.05
11 - 15	43	-0.02	-0.12	+0.10
16 - 20	37	-0.02	-0.13	+0.10
21 - 25	8	0.00	-0.16	+0.15
26 and above	4	-0.07	-0.23	+0.16
OVERALL	184	-0.03	-0.11	+0.07
0 - 15	135	-0.03	-0.09	+0.06
16 - 20	37	-0.02	-0.13	+0.10
21 and above	12	-0.02	-0.18	+0.16

¹The tar ranges are determined by FTC data.

TABLE III

DIFFERENCES IN CO DELIVERY IN INCREMENTS OF 5 MG OF CO DELIVERY¹

CO Delivery Range, mg/cigt.	<u>N</u>	Average Difference TITL-PM	Average Difference FTC-PM	Average Difference TITL-FTC
>0.4 - 5	27	+0.1	-0.5	+0.5
6 - 10	58	+0.3	-0.4	+0.8
11 - 15	73	+0.5	-0.7	+1.2
16 - 20	25	+0.8	-0.6	+1.4
21 and above	1	+1.0	-0.2	+1.2
OVERALL	184	+0.4	-0.6	+1.0

 $^{1 \}mbox{The CO}$ ranges are determined by FTC data.

TABLE IV
PHILIP MORRIS

	Tar, mg/cigt.					licotine. ng/cigt.	
Brand	PM Target	TITL	_PM	FTC	TITL	<u> </u>	FTC
Alpine 85 Benson & Hedges 70 (Box) Benson & Hedges 85 (Box) Benson & Hedges 100 Benson & Hedges Menthol 100 Benson & Hedges Menthol 100 (Box) Benson & Hedges Menthol 100 (Box) B & H DeLuxe Ultra Lights 100 (Box) B & H DeLuxe Ultra Lights Men. 100 (Box) Benson & Hedges Lights 100 Benson & Hedges Lights Menthol 100 B & H Multifilter 85 B & H Multifilter Menthol 85 Cambridge 85 Cambridge 85 Cambridge 85 Cambridge 100 English Ovals 70 (Box) English Ovals 85 (Box) Galaxy 85 Marlboro 80 (Box) Marlboro 85 Marlboro Menthol 85 Marlboro 100				FTC 15.6 1.2 14.8 15.9 15.7 15.8 16.1 5.0 5.2 10.1 9.6 12.1 12.2 0.8 * 4.7 23.1 28.0 13.9 16.1 16.0 15.6 16.3 16.0			0.95 0.07 1.10 1.03 1.04 1.02 1.01 0.40 0.42 0.73 0.70
Marlboro Lights 85 Marlboro Lights 85 (Box) Marlboro Lights 100 Merit 85 Merit Menthol 85 Merit 100 Merit Menthol 100 Merit Ultra Lights 85 Merit Ultra Lights Menthol 85 Merit Ultra Lights Menthol 100 Merit Ultra Lights Menthol 100 Parliament Lights 80 (Box) Parliament Lights 85 Parliament Lights 85 Parliament Lights 100 Philip Morris 70 Philip Morris Commander 85 Philip Morris International 100 (Box) Philip Morris International Men. 100 (Box) Players 70 (Box) Players 85 (Box) Players Menthol 85 (Box) Players Menthol 100 (Box) Saratoga 120 (Box) Saratoga 120 (Box) Virginia Slims Menthol 100 Virginia Slims Lights Menthol 100 (Box) Virginia Slims Lights Menthol 100 (Box)	11.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 10.0 4.0 4.0 5.0 9.0 9.0 11.5 21.0 25.0	10.5 10.4 10.4 7.6 7.3 9.7 9.3 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 11.6 12.2 17.3 11.5 11.6 13.1 14.1 14.8 14.8 14.9 7.9	11.1 10.9 11.0 8.2 7.9 10.1 10.3 4.6 4.9 4.8 5.0 8.1 20.4 21.7 11.7 11.9 13.6 13.9 14.4 14.4 14.8 8.6 8.6	10.2 10.1 10.1 7.9 10.3 9.4 4.7 5.1 8.7 11.6 20.8 25.7 11.7 11.6 11.7 11.6 11.7 11.7 11.6 11.7 11.7	0.75 0.75 0.76 0.79 0.56 0.74 0.72 0.36 0.40 0.38 0.64 0.68 0.91 1.17 1.19 2.04 0.85 0.97 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.04 0.63	0.80 0.80 0.83 0.63 0.59 0.78 0.77 0.42 0.44 0.70 0.72 0.96 1.37 1.68 1.16 1.91 0.89 0.87 1.01 0.96 1.04 1.00 1.05 0.68	0.68 0.69 0.71 0.52 0.54 0.71 0.67 0.38 0.37 0.43 0.63 0.63 0.83 1.24 1.59 1.07 1.90 0.89 0.94 0.93 0.94 0.56

^{*}Below the sensitivity of the method.

TABLE V
R. J. REYNOLDS

	Tar	, mg/ci	gt.	N: 	icotine, g/cigt.	
Brand	TITL	PM	FTC	TITL	PM	FTC
Bright 85 Bright 100 Camel 70 Camel 80 (Box) Camel 85 Camel Lights 80 (Box) Camel Lights 85 Camel Lights 100 Century 85 Century Lights 85 Doral II 85 Doral II Menthol 85 More Lights 100 (Box) More Lights Menthol 100 (Box) More Menthol 120 Now 80 (Box) Now 85 Now Menthol 85 Now 100 Now 100 Salem 85 Salem 100 Salem Lights 85 Salem Lights 100 Salem Slim Lights 100 (Box)	6.0 6.0 22.3 16.6 15.6 8.7 8.4 12.2 14.7 9.0 4.1 4.3 8.4 7.5 17.2 16.6 <0.5 0.8 0.7 2.0 5 1.6 16.8 16.7 9.6 9.6 8.4	6.9 7.1 20.2 N.A. 14.7 10.3 9.2 11.9 N.A. N.A. 5.1 4.9 8.8 8.1 17.1 16.6 0.00 1.2 1.3 2.6 N.A. 2.4 16.1 19.3 10.1 8.6	6.1 6.0 21.2 16.1 15.6 8.8 8.3 11.5 14.2 9.1 4.3 8.0 7.6 16.8 16.0 * 2.6 * 2.0 16.0 16.0 19.1 9.1 9.1	0.55 0.57 1.53 1.16 1.14 0.72 0.69 0.93 1.05 0.73 0.41 0.43 0.75 0.67 1.32 1.31 <0.12 0.12 0.25 0.03 0.12 0.25 0.03	0.59 0.65 1.54 N.A. 1.12 0.84 0.72 0.97 N.A. 0.50 0.46 0.76 0.70 1.36 1.36 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.28 1.20 1.36 0.77 0.86 0.75	0.52 0.52 1.40 0.08 1.07 0.69 0.66 0.83 0.94 0.69 0.38 0.65 0.61 1.19 1.21 * 0.11 0.09 0.27 0.07 0.24 1.06 1.21 0.70 0.74 0.66
Salem Ultra Lights 85 Salem Ultra Lights 100 Vantage 85 Vantage Menthol 85 Vantage 100 Vantage Menthol 100 Vantage Wenthol 100 Vantage Ultra Lights 85 Vantage Ultra Lights Menthol 85 Vantage Ultra Lights 100 Vantage Ultra Lights Menthol 100 Winston 80 (Box) Winston 85 Winston 100 Winston Lights 85 Winston Lights 100 Winston Ultra Lights 85 Winston Ultra Lights 100 Winston International 100 (Box)	4.8 4.6 9.8 9.3 9.0 9.1 4.5 4.7 16.7 16.1 16.8 8.8 11.8 4.7 5.0 16.1	5.6 5.9 9.5 9.2 9.5 10.2 5.3 5.5 5.2 5.4 15.3 16.1 17.0 9.0 12.0 5.1 5.6 16.2	5.0 4.7 9.7 9.2 9.4 9.3 4.7 4.6 5.0 5.1 16.1 15.8 16.5 8.4 11.5 4.8 5.3 15.5	0.47 0.45 0.78 0.71 0.76 0.45 0.43 0.46 1.17 1.14 1.29 0.72 0.92 0.47 0.48 1.20	0.50 0,52 0.78 0.72 0.79 0.84 0.48 0.48 1.14 1.21 1.34 0.70 0.92 0.50 0.51	0.43 0.42 0.71 0.67 0.72 0.43 0.40 0.45 0.44 1.07 1.06 1.20 0.65 0.83 0.42 0.45 1.10

^{*}Below the sensitivity of the method.

aIncreased tar (18.1 to 20.6 mg), nicotine (1.48 to 1.73 mg), and CO (20.7 to 21.7 mg) deliveries were observed in samples of September and November, 1983 manufacturer.

TABLE VII
BROWN & WILLIAMSON

	Tar, mg/cigt.			Nicotine mg/cigt.	5	
Brand	TITL	PM	FTC	TITL	PM	FTC
Belair 85	9.7	9.8	10.0	0.79	0.79	0.74
Belair 100	8.5	9.2	8.0	0.68	0.72	0.61
Kool 70	21.8	20.2	20.2	1.37	1.44	1.23
Kool 80 (Box)	16.5	16.1	15.9	1.17	1.20	1.08
Kool 85	16.6	16.0	16.3	1.14	1.14	1.04
Kool 100	14.3	13.7	14.1	0.98	0.97	0.88
Kool Lights 85	8.6	8.9	8.5	0.69	0.70	0.65
Kool Lights 100	9.4	9.5	9.0	0.79	0.79	0.70
Kool Milds 85	10.6	10.5	10.2	0.79	0.78	0.73
Kool Milds 100	11.4	N.A.	11.2	0.90	N.A.	0.82
Raleigh 85 (NF)	26.6	22.3	24.6	1.59	1.40	1.38
Raleigh 85	15.5	14.3	14.9	1.00	0.98	0.89
Raleigh 100	16.5	16.1	16.0	1.17	1.14	1.04
Raleigh Lights 85	10.8	10.5	10.4	0.85	0.83	0.76
Raleigh Lights 100	8.9	9.4	8.9	0.76	0.83	0.69
Richland 85	16.1	N.A.	15.9	1.15	N.A.	1.05
Richland Menthol 85	16.3	N.A.	15.3	1.11	N.A.	0.98
Viceroy 85	17.1	16.0	16.2	1.06	1.04	0.94
Vicercy 100	14.8	14.0	14.3	1.06	0.99	0.94
Viceroy Rich Lights 85	9.9	10.0	9.9	0.80	0.82	0.74
Viceroy Rich Lights 100	10.9	11.1	10.5	0.89	0.87	0.78

Tar, mg/cigt.

Tareyton Long Lights 100

Tareyton 85

Tareyton 100

Tarevton Lights 85

14.0

13.4

4.6

7.3

0.86

0.93

0.36

0.62

Nicotine.

mg/cigt.

12.5

12.9

5.3

7.7

13.1

13.5

4.4

7.5

0.98

1.00

0.41

0.66

0.94

0.99

0.46

0.70

^{*}Below the sensitivity of the method.

N.A. = Not available

^aA decrease in filter ventilation (38 to 31%) in September, 1983, resulted in increased tar (17.6 to 20.0 mg), nicotine (1.60 to 1.70 mg), and CO (16.9 to 20.6 mg) deliveries.

aA change to a more porous cigarette paper (14 to 7 sec.) in November, 1983, resulted in decreased tar (23.0 to 20.2 mg) and CO (15.4 to 12.4 mg) deliveries.

bA change to a more porous cigarette paper (13 to 6 sec.) in November, 1983, correlated with lower tar (13.2 to 12.5 mg) and CO (14.7 to 13.0 mg) deliveries.

CSamples received for testing in January, 1982, exhibited significantly different tar (16.7 to 22.7 mg) and nicotine (1.27 to 1.57 mg) deliveries corresponding with paper porosities of 17 to 43 sec., respectively.

Nicotine.

TABLE X

PHILIP MORRIS

		CO, mg/cigt.	
Brand	TITL	_ <u>PM</u>	FTC
Alpine 85	14.6	14.5	14.2
Benson & Hedges 70 (Box)	1.8	1.4	1.5
Benson & Hedges 85 (Box)	12.0	11.8	11.5
Benson & Hedges 100	16.3	16.1	14.9
Benson & Hedges Menthol 100	16.0	17.0	15.1
Benson & Hedges 100 (Box)	15.9	15.8	14.7
Benson & Hedges Menthol 100 (Box)	16.4	16.5	14.5
B & H DeLuxe Ultra Lights 100 (Box)	6.2	6.9	5.2
B & H DeLuxe Ultra Lights Men. 100 (Box)	6.1	6.4	5.3
Benson & Hedges Lights 100	12.6	11.4	11.2
Benson & Hedges Lights Menthol 100	11.4	12.8	10.1
B & H Multifilter 85	12.2	11.1	10.5
B & H Multifilter Menthol 85	11.5	11.8	10.2
Cambridge 85	1.4	1.6	1.0
Cambridge 85 (Box)	0.0	<0.4	*
Cambridge 100	6.2	6.1	5.5
English Ovals 70 (Box)	12.7	11.7	11.0
English Ovals 85 (Box)	15.4	13.4	14.0
Galaxy 85	14.8	15.1	13.3
Marlboro 80 (Box)	15.5	14.3	14.0
Marlboro 85	15.6	15.0	14.5
Marlboro Menthol 85	15.0	14.9	14.1
Marlboro 100	16.4	15.2	15.0
Marlboro 100 (Box)	16.0	15.4	14.4
Marlboro Lights 85	11.9	11.5	10.8
Marlboro Lights 85 (Box)	11.9	11.8	10.8
Marlboro Lights 100	12.7	12.1	11.1
Merit 85	10.2	9.9	9.1
Merit Menthol 85	9.5	9.2	9.5
Merit 100	12.0	11.0	11.6
Merit Menthol 100	11.4	10.8	10,6
Merit Ultra Lights 85	4.9	4.7	5.3
Merit Ultra Lights Menthol 85	4.5	4.4	5.0
Merit Ultra Lights 100	5.9	5.6	6.4
Merit Ultra Lights Menthol 100	5.4	5.0	4.4
Parliament Lights 80 (Box)	9.5	9.1	9.2
Parliament Lights 85	9.3	9.4	8.5
Parliament Lights 100 Philip Morris 70	11.8 13.6	$\substack{11.8\\13.0}$	$\substack{10.7\\11.9}$
Philip Morris Commander 85	16.2	15.2	14.3
Philip Morris International 100 (Box)	16.9	16.0	15.6
Philip Morris International Men. 100 (Box)	17.9	16.2	16.4
Players 70 (Box)	16.0	16.7	14.7
Players 85 (Box) Players Menthol 85 (Box)	$\substack{11.5\\11.4}$	$\substack{11.0\\11.6}$	11.1
Players 100 (Box)	13.7	12.8	10.8 12.0 11.4
Players Menthol 100 (Box)	12.9	12.2	11.4
Saratoga 120 (Box)	14.7	13 .9	13.2
Saratoga Menthol 120 (Box)	14.7	15.2	13.5
Virginia Slims 100	13.8	12.7	12.3
Virginia Slims Menthol 100 Virginia Slims Lights 100 (Box)	13.9 8.5	13.4 9.2	12,9 <u>7.4</u>
Virginia Slims Lights Menthol 100 (Box)	8.7	8.6	7.5

^{*}Below the sensitivity of the method.

TABLE XI

R. J. REYNOLDS

		CO, mg/cigt.	
Brand	TITL	PM_	FTC
Bright 85	7.8	7.6	7.1
Bright 100	8.1	7.5	7.4
Camel 70	14.9	13.0	12.9
Camel 80 (Box)	15.2	N.A.	14.6
Camel 85	15.1	14.5	14.3
Camel Lights 80 (Box)	9.4	9.0	8.4
Camel Lights 85	11.7	10.3	10.1
Camel Lights 100	15.4	14.8	13.7
Century 85	17.3	N.A.	15.9
Century Lights 85	11.3	N.A.	10.8
Doral II 85	3.7	3.2	3.0
Doral II Menthol 85	3.8	3.6	3.1
More Lights 100 (Box)	9.0	9.1	7.7
More Lights Menthol 100 (Box)	9.2	8.2	8.4
More 120	21.6	21.6	20.4
More Menthol 120	20.6	20.4	18.8
Now 80 (Box)	0.1	<0.4	*
Now 85	1.9	1.9	1.5
Now Menthol 85	1.7	1.5	1.2
Now 100	2.6	2.7	2.4
Now 100 (Box)	0.5	N.A.	*
Now Menthol 100	1.6	1.6	1.5
Salem 85 Salem 100	18.7	17.3	17.6
Salem Lights 85	17.0 12.1	$\substack{16.0\\11.0}$	14.9
Salem Lights 100	12.3	10.9	10.7 10.8
Salem Slim Lights 100 (Box)	9.8	8.7	8.7
Salem Ultra Lights 85	7.1	7.2	6.4
Salem Ultra Lights 100	6.9	6.3	5.9
Vantage 85	13.1	12.0	11.9
Vantage Menthol 85	13.3	12.0	11.9
Vantage 100	11.3	10.4	10.5
Vantage Menthol 100	11.3	11.0	10.6
Vantage Ultra Lights 85	6.8	6.5	5.9
Vantage Ultra Lights Menthol 85	6.6	5.8	5.8
Vantage Ultra Lights 100	6.3	6.3	5.7
Vantage Ultra Lights Menthol 100	7.3	6.0a	6.8
Winston 80 (Box)	15.6	14.3	14.5
Winston 85	15.5	15.2	14.7
Winston 100	17.3	16.3	15.8
Winston Lights 85	10.3	9.3	9.3
Winston Lights 100	14.5	14.4	13.1
Winston Ultra Lights 85	7.2	6.5	6.5
Winston Ultra Lights 100	7.1	7.1	6.6
Winston International 100 (Box)	14.4	14.3	13.5

^{*}Below the sensitivity of the method.

N.A. = Not available

 $^{^{\}rm aThe}$ change to a more porous cigarette paper (24 to 16 sec.) in November, 1983, resulted in decreased CO (6.9 to 6.0 mg) delivery.

TABLE XII

		CO, mg/cigt.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Brand	TITL	<u> PM</u>	FTC
Kent Golden Lights 85	9.1	9.3	9.0
Kent Golden Lights Menthol 85	7.5	8.1	7.9
Kent Golden Lights 100	9.2	9.4	9.6
Kent Golden Lights Menthol 100	8.7	8.0	9.2
Kent 80 (Box)	12.6	12.1	11.5
Kent 85	11.4	12.6	10.4
Kent 100	11.9	12.9	10.7
Kent Menthol 100	14.5	14.2	13.5
Kent III 85	2.9	3.0	2.2
Kent III 100	5.6	5.5	4.8
Max 120	18.8	18.2	17.2
Max Menthol 120	18.3	18.1	17.1
Newport Menthol 80 (Box)	17.0	16.1	15.4
Newport Menthol 85	18.6	17.6	17.2
Newport Menthol 100	20.5	19.0	19.6
Newport Lights Menthol 80 (Box)	9.5	9.2	9.3
Newport Lights Menthol 85	8.5	8.7	8.3
Newport Lights Menthol 100	9.3	7.2	9.6
Newport Red 80 (Box)	13.7	13.8	12.5
Newport Red 85	16.3	15.2	15.1
01d Go1d 85	18.8	18.4	18.0
Old Gold 100	21.4	20.7a	19.6
01d Gold Lights 85	10.0	10.6	10.0
Old Gold Straights 85 (NF)	18.4	17.6	16.6
Satin 100	11.1	10.4	10.5
Satin Menthol 100	10.8	9.5	10.0
Spring 100	17.8	16.6	16.3
Triumph 85	3.0	3.0	2.5
Triumph Menthol 85	3.0	2.9	2.4
Triumph 100	5.4	5.8	4.5
Triumph Menthol 100	5.0	5.0	4.2
True 85	5.7 5.2	5.8	4.4
True Menthol 85	4.8 5.7	5.7	4.8
True 100	7.6 9.5	9.3	8.5
True Menthol 100	7.4 9.0	9.2	7.8

 $^{^{}m a}$ Increased tar (18.1 to 20.6 mg), nicotine (1.48 to 1.73 mg), and CO (20.7 to 21.7 mg) deliveries were observed in samples of September and November, 1983 manufacturer.

		CO, mg/cigt.	
Brand	TITL	<u>PM</u>	FTC
Dellata Of	10.1	^ T	0.5
Belair 85	10.1	9.7	9.5
Belair 100	9.0	9.0	7.5
Koo1 70	15.1	14.0	14.0
Kool 80 (Box)	15.3	14.6	14.6
Kool 85	14.7	13.7	13.8
Kool 100	16.5	15.3	15.3
Kool Lights 85	10.2	10.1	9.2
Kool Lights 100	9.9	9.0	8.7
Kool Milds 85	11.3	11.2	10.7
Kool Milds 100	13.5	N.A.	12.1
Raleigh 85 (NF)	18.4	17.1	16.5
Raleigh 85	17.0	16.2	16.1
Raleigh 100	17.2	16.5	16.5
Raleigh Lights 85	11.3	10.8	10.1
Raleigh Lights 100	10.5	11.2	9.4
Richland 85	13.4	N.A.	13.2
Richland Menthol 85	14.4	N.A.	13.4
Viceroy 85	16.7	15.4	15.7
Viceroy 100	17.6	16.5	16.1
Viceroy Rich Lights 85	10.2	9.5	9.6
Viceroy Rich Lights 100	12.4	11.6	11.3

TABLE XIV

AMERICAN BRANDS

		CO, mg/cigt.	
Brand	TITL	_PM	<u>FTC</u>
Bull Durham 85	24.2	23.2	23.0
Carlton 85	2.1	1.3	1.6 *
Carlton 85 (Box)	0.0	<0.4	
Carlton Menthol 85 Carlton 100	1.3 6.4	1.0	0.9
Carlton 100 (Box)		6.4	5.8 *
Carlton Menthol 100	0.6 6.7	N.A. 6.4	5 . 7
Carlton Menthol 100 (Box)	0.4	N.A.	5./ ★
Carlton 120	5.9	5.0	5.1
Carlton Menthol 120	5 . 8	5.3	5.3
Half & Half 85	18.0	18.2	17.0
Herbert Tareyton 85	17.1	17.0	15.9
Iceberg 100	4.7	5.4	4.2
Lucky Strike 70 (NF)	17.9	15.4	16.4
Lucky Strike Low Tar 85	11.6	10.7	9.9
Lucky Strike Low Tar 85 (Box)	11.3	11.3	10.3
Lucky Strike Low Tar 100	11.0	N.A.	10.2
Montclair 85	16.4	16.0	15.2
Pall Mall 85 (NF)	17.5	16.9	15.5
Pall Mall 85	17.4	17.7	16.0
Pall Mall 100	16.5	16.0	15.2
Pall Mall Extra Light 85	6.9	6.9	6.7
Pall Mall Lights 100	10.1	9.9	8.9
Pall Mall Light Menthol 100	12.6	12.0	11.4
Silva Thins 100	9.7	9.7	8.5
Silva Thins Menthol 100	9.4	8.8	8.3
Tall 120	21.2	20.4	19.3
Tall Menthol 120	19.8	19 . 9a	17.5
Tareyton 85	15.3	14.8	13.8
Tareyton 100	15.9	15.2	15.1
Tareyton Lights 85	5.1	4.6	4.2
Tareyton Long Lights 100	7.8	6.9	7.0

 $^{^{}a}$ A decrease in filter ventilation (38 to 31%) in September, 1983, resulted in increased tar (17.6 to 20.0 mg), nicotine (1.60 to 1.70 mg), and CO (16.9 to 20.6 mg) deliveries.

TABLE XV LIGGETT GROUP

	CO, mg/cigt.				
Brand	TITL	РМ	<u>FTC</u>		
Chesterfield 70 (NF)	12.0	11.4	11.0		
Chesterfield 85 (NF)	14.3	12.4a	12.6		
Dorado 85	12.9	N.A.	11.8		
Eve Lights 120 (Box)	12.9	12.7	11.2		
Eve Lights Menthol 120 (Box)	12.6	12.6	11.2		
Eve Slim Lights 100 (Box)	10.0	9.2	9.5		
Eve Slim Lights Menthol 100 (Box)	10.1	9.3	9.5		
L & M 80 (Box)	13.5	14.0	12.8		
L & M 85	13.2	12.7	12.5		
L & M 100	14.1	13.0b	13.0		
L & M Flavor Lights 85	7.6	N.A.	6.8		
L & M Flavor Lights 100	6.8	N.A.	6.1		
Lark 85	14.0	13.7	13.2		
Lark 100	15.9	15.1	14.6		
Lark Lights 85	13.6	13.0	12.1		
Lark Lights 100	14.7	14.0	13.2		
Picayune 70 (NF)	13.8	16.9C	12.5		
St. Moritz 100	14.1	13.2	12.8		
St. Moritz Menthol 100	14.4	14.1	13.4		

^aA change to a more porous cigarette paper (14 to 7 sec.) in November, 1983, resulted in decreased tar (23.0 to 20.2 mg) and CO (15.4 to 12.4 mg) deliveries.

^bA change to a more porous cigarette paper (13 to 6 sec.) in November, 1983, correlated with lower tar (13.2 to 12.5 mg) and CO (14.7 to 13.0 mg) deliveries.

^CSamples received for testing in January, 1982, exhibited significantly different tar (16.7 to 22.7 mg) and nicotine (1.27 to 1.57 mg) deliveries corresponding with paper porosities of 17 to 43 sec., respectively.

TABLE XVI

AVERAGE TAR, NICOTINE AND CO DELIVERIES FOR MARKET SAMPLES #28 AND PRIOR MARKET SAMPLES

	Tar,	Tar, mg/cigt.		Nicotine, mg/cigt.			CO, mg/cigt.		
Market Sample	TITL	PM	FTC	TITL	<u>PM</u>	FTC	TITL	<u>PM</u>	FTC
25	11.2	11.2	11.1	0.85	0.86	0.85	11.2	11.2	11.3
26	11.4	11.3	11.6	0.90	0.88	0.85	11.3	11.1	11.0
27	11.3	11.1	11.4	0.89	0.92	0.81	11.5	11.4	10.9
28	11.6	11.7	11.4	0.90	0.93	0.82	11.7	11.3	10.7

TABLE XVII

BRANDS ADDED TO MARKET SAMPLE #28

PHILIP MORRIS

P.M. International 100 (Box)
P.M. International Men. 100 (Box)
Players 85 (Box)
Players Menthol 85 (Box)
Players 100 (Box)
Players Menthol 100 (Box)

BROWN & WILLIAMSON

Richland 85 Richland Menthol 85

AMERICAN BRANDS

Lucky Strike Low Tar 100

R. J. REYNOLDS

Camel 80 (Box) Century 85 Century Lights 85 Vantage Menthol 100

LIGGETT GROUP

Dorado 85
Eve Slim Lights 100 (Box)
Eve Slim Lights Menthol 100 (Box)
L & M Flavor Lights 100

BRANDS DELETED FROM MARKET SAMPLE #28

PHILIP MORRIS

Marlboro Menthol 80 (Box) Northwind 85 Northwind 100

LIGGETT GROUP

Chesterfield 85
Chesterfield 101
Decade 85
Decade Menthol 85
Eve Lights 100
Eve Lights Menthol 100
L & M Long Lights 100
L & M Long Lights Menthol 100
Omni Luxury Lights Menthol 100

LORILLARD

Rebel 85 Rebel 100

AMERICAN BRANDS

Long Johns 120 Long Johns Menthol 120 Lucky 100

















